



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/551,399

08/08/2006

Brian C. Case

3006-1783

3287

30565

7590

10/01/2009

WOODARD, EMHARDT, MORIARTY, MCNETT & HENRY LLP
111 MONUMENT CIRCLE, SUITE 3700
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-5137

EXAMINER

SHARMA, YASHITA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3774

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/01/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-11 and 43, drawn to a percutaneous vascular valve.

Group II, claim(s) 12-25, drawn to percutaneous vascular valve.

Group III, claim(s) 26-42, drawn to a method of modifying blood flow in a vascular vessel.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Peredo (6,254,636) discloses a stentless valve prosthesis for restricting blood flow with a flexible member engaging the vessel walls (Fig. 3).

3. The inventions listed as Groups (I, II) and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Peredo (6,254,636) discloses valve prosthesis for restricting blood flow with a flexible member engaging the vessel walls (Fig. 3) and permitting blood flow in one direction.

4. This application contains claims directed to more than one species and subspecies of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species and subspecies are as follows:

Species A: Fig. 3

Species a: Fig.1

Subspecies 1: Fig. 1A

Subspecies 2: Fig. 1B

Subspecies 3: Fig. 1C

Species b: Fig.2

Species c: Fig.11

Species d: Fig.12

Species B: Fig. 4

Species a: Fig.1

Subspecies 1: Fig. 1A

Subspecies 2: Fig. 1B

Subspecies 3: Fig. 1C

Species b: Fig.2

Species c: Fig.11

Species d: Fig.12

Species C: Fig. 5

Species a: Fig.1

Subspecies 1: Fig. 1A

Subspecies 2: Fig. 1B

Subspecies 3: Fig. 1C

Species b: Fig.2

Species c: Fig.11

Species d: Fig.12

Species D: Fig. 6

Species a: Fig.1

Subspecies 1: Fig. 1A

Subspecies 2: Fig. 1B

Subspecies 3: Fig. 1C

Species b: Fig.2

Species c: Fig.11

Species d: Fig.12

Species E: Fig. 7

Species a: Fig.1

Subspecies 1: Fig. 1A

Subspecies 2: Fig. 1B

Subspecies 3: Fig. 1C

Species b: Fig.2

Species c: Fig.11

Species d: Fig.12

Species F: Figs. 8-9

Species a: Fig.1

Subspecies 1: Fig. 1A

Subspecies 2: Fig. 1B

Subspecies 3: Fig. 1C

Species b: Fig.2

Species c: Fig.11

Species d: Fig.12

Species G: Fig. 10

Species a: Fig.1

Subspecies 1: Fig. 1A

Subspecies 2: Fig. 1B

Subspecies 3: Fig. 1C

Species b: Fig.2

Species c: Fig.11

Species d: Fig.12

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species A-G, then species a-d and then further subspecies 1-3 to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 3774

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species A-G: Claims 26-42

Species a-d: Claims 2-25

The following claim(s) are generic: 1 and 43

6. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: as noted above.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 3774

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YASHITA SHARMA whose telephone number is (571)270-5417. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8 am to 4 pm EST..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Isabella can be reached on 571-272-4749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,399
Art Unit: 3774

Page 8

/Y. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 3774

/DAVID ISABELLA/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3774